UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,049	02/13/2006	02/13/2006 Nicola Da Dalt		4105
25281 DICKE, BILLIO	7590 10/13/200 G & CZAJA	EXAMINER		
FIFTH STREE	ΓTOWERS	GANNON, LEVI		
MINNEAPOLI	FTH STREET, SUITE S, MN 55402	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2817	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Commons		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/	541,049	DA DALT, NICOL	DA DALT, NICOLA			
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit				
		LEV	'I GANNON	2817				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE (of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I nunication. atutory period will appl will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN n no event, however, may a y and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 26 June 2	009					
		2b)⊠ This actio						
—		/ 		ters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	•					
		is/are nending ir	the application					
•	Claim(s) <u>17-19,22,23,25 and 29-31</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>17-19,22,23,25 and 29-31</u>	is/are rejected						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elec	tion requirement					
		onorrama, or old	nion roquiromoni.					
Applicati	on Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by th		<u></u>					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <mark> </mark>	or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawir	ng(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17, 19, 22, 25, 29, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duff (GB 2 002 157; reference of record).

Regarding claims 17 and 19, Duff discloses a device (figure 2) for frequency synthesis comprising: an oscillator (11,30) driven for generating, at a frequency out of a set of at least two possible output frequencies (frequencies can be: frequency found at "IN" node, a divided frequency from 1 lb, or zero), an output signal (OUT); and a control device (20) for driving the oscillator (11,30), wherein the control device, for the purpose of generating a desired frequency that is not included in the set of possible output frequencies (by providing an average frequency), is configured to drive the oscillator to alternately generate at least two different output frequencies (frequencies can be: frequency found at "IN" node, a divided frequency from 1 lb, or zero), out of the set of possible output frequencies, such that an average value of the generated output frequencies over a certain time period is the desired frequency (note abstract) plus or minus a relative frequency error (A relative frequency error will inherently be present

Application/Control Number: 10/541,049

Art Unit: 2817

because no circuitry can be designed operate perfectly.); and a frequency divider (11k) connected to the output (output of 11c) of the oscillator and configured to reduce the relative frequency error generated at the selected average switching frequency (The divider 11k is connected to the output of the oscillator of Duff exactly how the divider and oscillator in the instant application are connected. Due to the structural similarities between the device of Duff and the instant application, the frequency divider of Duff will inherently provide the same function as the frequency divider in the instant application.).

Page 3

While Duff teaches the ability to select any desired switching frequency, Duff does not expressly teach wherein the control device is configured to drive the oscillator such that the at least two generated output frequencies are alternated at a selected average switching frequency that is less than the at least two possible output frequencies, is smaller than a switching frequency necessary to obtain a desired relative frequency error without the frequency divider or is greater than the reciprocal value of the certain time period.

However, as would have been recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art, the frequency at which the at least two output frequencies are switched (a.k.a. the average switching frequency) is a parameter that is determined by way of a design choice made through experimentation. Setting the average switching frequency of Duff would be merely an exercise in finding the optimum and workable range for the synthesizer of Duff through routine experimentation. It has been held that when the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art (In this case the structure is found in Duff), "...it is

Art Unit: 2817

not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." (*In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955))

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to adjust the average switching frequency of the synthesizer to Duff because such a modification would have been a mere exercise in finding the optimum and workable ranges for the synthesizer through routine experimentation.

In terms of claims 22 and 25, Duff teaches the oscillator comprising a digitally controlled oscillator. Switching device part of oscillator (11, 30) contains digital devices 35-37.

Regarding claims 29 and 31, the methods as recited in the claims are inherently present in the structure as discussed above in the rejections of claims 17 and 19.

Claims 18 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duff in view of Kamas et al (hereinafter "Kamas") (US Patent 6,429,799; reference of record).

In terms of claim 18, Duff teaches the control device (20) operating in an analog to digital conversion principle but fails to teach the control device driving the oscillator with a bit stream generated according to a delta-sigma-principle.

Kamas teaches the delta sigma principle being a well known form of analog to digital conversion. Note column 1, lines 61-63.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to drive the oscillator with a control device according to the delta sigma principle because such a modification would have been an addition of a well known analog to digital conversion circuit.

Regarding claim 30, the method as recited in the claim is inherently present in the structure as discussed above in the rejection of claim 18.

Claims 17 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirotomi (European Patent Application 0 430 493; reference of record) in view of Dietl et al. (hereinafter "Dietl) (US Patent 6,556,088; reference of record).

As for claim 17, Hirotomi discloses a device (figure 7) for frequency synthesis comprising: an oscillator (inside dotted line box) driven for generating, at a frequency out of a set of at least two possible output frequencies (by adjusting current provided to delay stages seen in figure 7), an output signal; and a control device (701/702 and transistor providing current) for driving the oscillator, wherein the control device, for the purpose of generating a desired frequency that is not included in the set of possible output frequencies (note column 1, lines 5-10), is configured to drive the oscillator to alternately generate at least two different output frequencies, out of the set of possible output frequencies, such that an average value of the generated output frequencies over a certain time period is the desired frequency (Adjusting the current fed to the oscillator through the transistor is adjusted by adjusting the variable resistors 701 and 702. The output frequency of the oscillator is then changed by way of a varying control current.) plus or minus a relative frequency error (A relative frequency error will

inherently be present because no circuitry can be designed operate perfectly.), wherein the control device is configured to drive the oscillator such that the at least two generated output frequencies are alternated at an average frequency that is less than the at least two possible output frequencies (The resistors 701 and 702 may be adjusted at any frequency relative to the output frequency of the oscillator.).

Hirotomi does not teach a frequency divider connected to the output of the oscillator and configured to reduce the relative frequency error generated at the selected average switching frequency, wherein the selected average switching frequency generated is selected to be smaller than a switching frequency necessary to obtain a desired relative frequency error without the frequency divider.

However, one well known application of ring oscillators to those of ordinary skill in the art includes placing a ring oscillator in phase locked loop; wherein the phase locked loop includes a frequency divider connected to the output of the ring oscillator.

One well known example is taught by Dietl; wherein the output of a ring oscillator (16 in figure 1; detailed in figure 2) is provided to a frequency divider (18) in a phase locked loop system (figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to place the ring oscillator of Hirotomi into a phase locked loop; wherein the phase locked loop includes a frequency divider connected to the output of the ring oscillator because such a modification would have been making use of a well known application of ring oscillators to those of ordinary skill in the art. Note: Placing a frequency divider at the output of the oscillator of Hirotomi (as shown in figure 1 of Dietl)

would be similar to the structure taught in the instant application. Due to the structural similarities between the modified device of Hirotomi and the instant application, the frequency divider of Hirotomi will inherently provide the same function as the frequency divider in the instant application.

As for claim 23, Hirotomi teaches the oscillator comprises a ring oscillator (note ring oscillator in figure 7), wherein a current (from transistor shown), out of a set of possible currents (provided by changing values of resistors 701 and 702), can be supplied to the ring oscillator for the purpose of driving the ring oscillator (current inherently is driving the ring oscillator of Hirotomi).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see top of page 8 of Applicant's remarks, filed 06/26/09, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 17 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Duff have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made, as detailed above.

Note: No argument was made regarding the references to Hirotomi and Dietl.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEVI GANNON whose telephone number is (571)272-7971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30AM-6:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,049 Page 8

Art Unit: 2817

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Levi Gannon/ Examiner, Art Unit 2817 10/07/09

/Robert Pascal/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2817